Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/560,361	SYLVAIN, DANIEL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Nicholas P. D'Aniello	1793
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Nicholas P. D'Aniello</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mark Weichselbaum.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 9 March 2010	Time: <u>10am</u>	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: 1 and 3		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa</li> </ul>	ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Nicholas P D'Aniello/ Examiner, Art Unit 1793	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: An Examiner's amendment to place the application in condition for allowance was proposed by the Examiner. The amendment includes clarifying (in independent claim 1) that the method comprises making a band type product from metal coils as well as adding to the end of the claim that both the first and second phase of the second junction cycle comprise welding. Support for these amendments can be found in original claims 2 and 3 as well as the specification pages 1-3. These amendments, in combination with the amendments clarifying that the same temporary junction is welded again after the intermediate accumulator define over the prior art as a whole.